



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

| ress: | COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS | |
|-------|---------------------------------|--|
| | P.O. Box 1450 | |
| | Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 | |
| | www.uspto.gov | |
| | | |

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/766,209 | 01/19/2001 | | Brandon J. Passanisi | P5505/14695.007001 | 9219 |
| 32615 | 7590 04/26 | 5/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | NG L.L.P./SUN | VU, TUAN A | | | |
| | NNEY, SUITE 280 TX 77010 | U | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2193 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 04/26/200: | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/766,209 PASSANISI, BRANDON J. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Tuan A. Vu 2193 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Tuan A. Vu. (3) Seema Mehta. (2) Anil Khatri. (4)Robert Lord. Date of Interview: 20 April 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) □ No. If Yes, brief description: JES white papers by Anne Thomas. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Kobayashi/pn: 6,633,888. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \boxtimes N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims

ANIL KHATRI PRIMARY EXAMINER

/finth/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative emphasized why a bundle by JES is not to be equated or being a obvious variation of Kobayashi's JAR file. In response from the examiner, the JES white papers was evidenced to point that JES bundles include archived content like JAR. Applicant's rep expressed the distinguishable feature being the IDE not the compression of file; and agreed with Examiner's suggestion as to elaborate the claims so that more specifics be added to the effect of enhancing the IDE limitation with functionality so to render the use/nature of JES bundles more particular to the invention and thus not reading of the prior art of record.